

NUMBER 27.

722 2.4.3.3050000

Board of the De-
partment.

The Barred Owl Still Refuses to Leave
From the same place.

20 to Milwaukee.

1962

CHICAGO, July 11.—The celebrated G. A. R. circular against railroads was formulated to-day and will be officially promulgated to-morrow. It states that after a long continued effort on the part

of a large number of department commanders of the G. A. R., supplemented by earnest appeals to railroad officials, the G. A. R. has failed to secure to the satisfaction the customary rate of one

cent per mile to the national encampment. The circular recites the fact that a rate of one cent per mile or less has recently been granted to organizations and states as the result of the rail

roads to give this rate to the veterans of
the late war is an unjust discrimination
against the G. A. R. Concurrently, the
department commanders of the various
states request all comrades "as a mat-
ter of honor, respect and self-

defense and love for the order
to forego the anticipated pleasure of
meeting their comrades at the national
encampment, by remaining at home.
Let only those attend who were duly
elected delegates or are ex-officio members.

...of the soldiers are ex-plain-ment-ers of the encampment. Let us in a dignified and resolute manner present this great injustice sought to be meted out to our soldiers by the railroad managers." The circular concludes by recommending the organization of company and district

reunions throughout the various departments in order that every old soldier may be given an opportunity to meet his comrades around the camp fire in a good reunion.

Deputy Wyatt Arrested.
DENVER, July 11.—At 2 o'clock this afternoon a warrant of commitment was issued in the criminal court for the arrest of Deputy Secretary of State Wyatt.

for refusing to comply with the order of the court. He was locked up in the county jail to serve a sentence of ten days and pay a fine of \$100. It is understood that he will not pay the fine.

DENVER, July 21.—Wyatt was released on bonds at 10 o'clock to night and is to appear tomorrow morning in the habeas corpus court.

Brady Not Guilty.
DENVER, July 22.—The trial of ex-Chief of Police Brady who was charged

After having accepted bribes from Chinese gamblers to secure protection to the Chinese gambling houses from raids by the police, a verdict was heard in the district court today. The jury after having seen the evidence, at one time returned a verdict of guilty.

The Montana Convention.
HELENA, MON., JULY 22.—In the con-
 vention to-day Conrad Choteau intro-

passed a resolution that there shall be no new countries established unless the assessed valuation within the limits of boundaries shall be three million dollars or more. Zanon introduced a combi-

ory education resolution, also a resolution prohibiting the employment of children under fourteen in mines or manufacturing. Burns, of Lewis county, offered a resolution that the irrigation

...ect, was of paramount importance to Montana and deserves the serious consideration of the convention. Joy, of Park County, introduced a resolution that no corporation shall employ in any capacity a Chinese or Mongolian laborer and that no

Chinese shall be employed on any public works. Maginn, of Clark county, introduced a resolution reciting the fact that congress usually granted swamp lands to new states, and as there was no precedent in the constitution for the

gress to cede to the state a corresponding amount of land for the purpose of defraying the expenses of irrigation and the construction of reservoirs. The convention adjourned on Monday.

The True Ring.
NEW YORK, July 20.—Mr. Wm. Walter
1878, in refusing an invitation from
the 21878 Guards to a public reception

There: "We do not like to celebrate the results of the Samoan conference when our colleagues on the commission are at

... If there's anything to celebrate, the wife a diplomatic packlock ties my wrists, I have not failed to read in the English and German press that in their honor the Ladies of the United States

of Samoa were not neglected in
Serai, the cred. must be shared at least
equally with my accomplished colleagues
of the American commission, Nasson, of

...a generation in the depths of con-
fession to readiness of thought and expres-
sion, trained in the company for which he
was fitted by nature at Yella and

Dakota's Constitutional Convention.
SIOUX FALLS, Dak., July 11.—In the

cient to prevent such frauds, and that to suspend an entire class of entries was equivalent to petitioning him to do the same thing which resulted in such disaster.

tion was received from the Methodist Ministers association of southeastern Dakota, asking the convention to provide safeguards for an honest election on

WASHINGTON, July 10.—Secretary Noble was seen late this afternoon and asked if there was any truth in the reiterated reports that, on business in some way

the reports. He made no answer and immediately drove off when asked if this statement could be interpreted as meaning that the publication had some foundation.

report of the legislative committee fixing the number to constitute the state legislature. The committee recommended that the senate be half the size of the

ably arose without action. The preamble and bill of rights was reported by the committee and adopted. A number of propositions were introduced, and

that was made by Mississippi in the Sullivan-Klrain fight. The fighters were the negro Peter Jackson of Australia and Seior Brown. The former

but in the third round his condition became pitiable. He was bleeding profusely and unable to raise his hands. The spectators cried out that he was be-

souri, Kansas and Texas got itself into a complication with the Missouri Pacific which will interfere with its Kansas and St. Louis business. The Missouri, Kan-

the Kansas Pacific from Parsons, Kansas. The Missouri, Kansas and Texas has virtually broken that contract and the Missouri Pacific officials have stated

President Joan Tyler died at the Exchange hotel this evening from a congestive heart. She had only been at the hotel since Sunday evening and was on

Rusk Speaks Decisively.
WASHINGTON, July 11.—Some days ago the state officers of New Jersey no-

An Early Session.

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem or issue that needs to be addressed. This involves gathering information and understanding the context of the problem.

part was placed a few yards further and the trees allowed to remain. Senator Cameron saw what had gone on, then see Archibald Cairns mark to him, "I'll let an axe may cut down that tree." This was probably he came now.

THE GAZETTE.

PUBLISHED BY

THE GAZETTE PRINTING CO.

DAILY IN ADVANCE.

Per annum, \$3.00 Six months, \$1.50

Three months, \$0.75 One month, \$0.25

Weekly in Advance.

Per annum, \$3.00 Six months, \$1.50

Three months, \$0.75 One month, \$0.25

Advertising.

Rates made known on application to the office.

JOE WOLF.

The titles for Train and Ferry Job Printing equal to those of any establishment west of the Missouri river.

All persons having advertisements in the paper and desiring them discontinued will please make known at the business office, where they will be properly attended to. We cannot hold ourselves responsible for advertisements continuing in the paper unless notice is thus given.

No claims are allowed against employees of the GAZETTE for loss of any account.

All advertisements for the WEEKLY GAZETTE must be handed in not later than Thursday noon.

Advertising agents are respectfully notified that we do not want any advertising from them.

J. W. STEELE.

Manager of the GAZETTE.

The sum of eight hundred and forty-three thousand, three hundred and twenty-three dollars is to be distributed from the treasury of the state of Missouri to the several "fund" of the different counties. The democratic majority in Missouri has been gradually winding away, and the Globe-Democrat thinks this will finish it.

Mrs. Warren gave at Wednesday's auction three thousand dollars to encow a school of theology in connection with the Denver university. Her son has supplemented this gift with ten thousand dollars for the erection of buildings for this school and its endowment. This is setting a good example to the rich men of the state.

There is talk of sending Col. E. H. 2. Sheppard to the Russian mission. No proposed appointment has been greeted with such general satisfaction throughout the country. It does not, however, as though Russia deserved some consideration in the premises. Colonel Sheppard is not doing much injury with his quotations of scripture, and it is much better to keep him at home.

President Harrison is saving some experiences which show how necessary it is to have a little clarity regarding appointments. Occasionally, President Cleveland appointed a horse thief to office. We were a very much shocked by so careless an executive act. Now we learn that Thomas C. Morgan, the president's newly appointed commissioner of Indian affairs, was tried by court-martial during the war and was found guilty of falsifying muster rolls, five companies of his regiment, and was dismissed from the service. The proceedings of the court-martial were not approved because it imposed a lighter sentence than the offense demanded.

A most interesting trial is now going on in Denver. James Connor, recently second officer in command of the police of Denver, is on trial for conspiracy to rob the Rio Grande Express. An effort is being made to modify public opinion by claiming that the whole matter was a conspiracy on the part of Mayor Condon and others to get rid of Connor. This is a very silly theory and looks like special pleading in behalf of the Connors to create some public sentiment in their favor. As a matter of fact, there was plenty of evidence before the grand jury to warrant Mayor Condon in refusing to make this appointment. It was unnecessary to get up any such conspiracy. Connor was shown to be a criminal and to be in league with the worst criminals in Denver. Mayor Condon was a together too shrewd a man to have wasted any time and energy in getting up this case which was a waste of time. The conspiracy, if there was one, must have been to affect public opinion. It was not used for this purpose because Mayor Condon decided to appoint Connor some six weeks to two months before the attempt to rob the Rio Grande Express car was made public. The news cannot afford to pose as a reform paper and to anything to help the Connor gang.

Last Tuesday occurred the great Secrean sale of pictures in Paris. The picture over which there was the most rivalry was the "Angelus" by Millet. This was knocked down at five hundred and three thousand francs to the Louvre. Mr. J. S. Burton, who went to Paris in behalf of the American Art Association, especially to bid on this picture, bid five hundred and four thousand francs. The auctioneer declared that he did not hear the American bid. But his generally considered that he did. The price was so strong that he was compelled to put the picture up again. But by this time the French national price was set and subscriptions of ten thousand francs at a time were made in order to have this picture remain in France. The Palace des Beaux Arts gives a brief description of this most noted of all modern paintings as follows:

Millet's "Angelus" (Evening Prayer) still by 24 1/2 inches. In the catalogue of the Secrean collection it is thus described: "The sun has already sunk below the horizon, just luminous, with a warm, golden light, the lower part of the sky and the vast expanse of fields. There is perceptible that blessed twilight in which comes to the laborer before all toil and sleep. In the foreground a young couple have been busy harvesting the wheat. They have been interrupted by a young man of about the same age, a young man, who, in his long, thin, slender, and somewhat rustic body, has taken of his coat

and is looking at his breast. The young woman, likewise looking at her breast, stands near her. Both are in a state of fervent prayer to their Maker, who is the being in the clouds."

The picture was painted thirty years ago and was so to a center by a painter for \$50. When the dealer afterwards resold it for \$5,000, it was modestly spoke of as a sensation.

One and a half million francs for the transaction. And now, while the world is unable to keep over the roof of the Secrean cottage that was the government of the country buys his most famous work after a spirited competition with Americans for far more than he ever earned in his life.

The collection brought in five million, nine hundred thousand francs, or one hundred thousand francs less than the American Art Association offered for the collection a short time since. Now, understanding the unfairness with which the sale was conducted, even of the most valuable pictures were bought by Americans. Americans seem now to take the lead of the entire world in art purchases, even in matters where national pride is stirred to retain some great picture.

It has been quite common among the so-called "practical" politicians to sneer at the civil service examinations. Mr. Roosevelt has written a very plain, straightforward letter to the editor of the Milwaukee Sentinel, on this point, and we think it will satisfy most of our readers that the examinations are wise and are giving us a better set of men in our civil service. The letter is as follows:

"You are right in supposing that I never made the statement that I could not myself pass the civil service examination. The assertion that I did so is a falsehood, pure and simple, and a very ridiculous falsehood at that. I am glad to have an opportunity to say as emphatically as possible that our examinations are already of a practical character, and that we aim to make them more so day by day. The people who complain about their severity and their scholastic and pedantic character either err from pure ignorance or else make malicious misstatements. We prepare or have prepared thousands of questions; this, of course, inevitable that there should be one that would be wise to leave unasked, but as a whole they are perfectly fair tests, either of the candidate's special fitness for his work or else of his general good sense and intelligence. I challenge anyone to produce a series of papers (not an isolated question) of which these statements are not true."

"The average size of applicants under our rules we find to be about 31, and this is a sufficient proof that our examinations are drawn so as to suit the average man of full growth, not boys just out of school or college. I have questioned many heads of departments and local government officers about the character and capacity of the men obtained under the new system, and where the head of the department is himself an excellent public servant, doing good work with a good, well-managed office, his reply has invariably been that the men employed under the merit system are much better than the products of patronage."

"The merit system, of course, has faults; we shall try to remedy them where possible; but in any event it is an immeasurable improvement upon the old spoils system, which was, and wherever it obtains to-day is, a fruitful source of all that is most corrupt and degrading in our politics."

Denver has a right to be proud over her celebration of the Fourth of July. It was by far the most splendid in the history of the state. The sentiments which such a celebration stirs are well worth the cherishing. We have never had any public exercises in this city on this day. Why not begin next year? New England has always observed these great memorials in our history and it is one of the reasons for American sentiment being stronger there than in any other part of the country. It is possible that some people may sneer at the processions and speeches and may be annoyed by the noise. But this is a reason for an old-fashioned celebration rather than one against it. Indifference to a day like this marks the decay of national spirit, which is not pleasant to contemplate. It is because of what our forefathers did on this day that we have this government; and hence the day should draw whatever patriotic sentiments of love and devotion we may have.

The chapter in the last century on the reformation of Lincoln has called forth an article in the New York Sun which shows far more vividly the opposition there was to Lincoln. Wade, Greeley, Conkling, and even Sumner were among those who thought the reformation of Lincoln invited defeat. But it is pleasant to contemplate that the hero of the war is now entering his old age in peace and comfort, and that the public sentiment has vindicated his victory at Antietam and at Vicksburg. The consequences following the defeat of Lincoln and the election of McClellan are horrible to think of. Yet this was predicted by supposed statesmen, politicians and great party leaders. To General Sherman and his army more than to any other visible cause was due the reaction which swept Lincoln again into power, and therefore the importance of their work can hardly be estimated.

It is difficult to understand the action of the deputy secretary of state. We can understand why he should decline to open the doors where the treasury of the state is stored to every irresponsible person or soldier who should make request. But when the request comes from an official source it should be granted, provided there is no wrong to be concealed. It is a matter of indifference whether it is the right officer to investigate or not. Officers are casine to substantiate the honesty of the conduct of the office, which no innocent man should hesitate to take advantage of. During the session of the legislature the course of the Republican was far from being fair. It showed a disposition to credit certain members and to approve of certain other members whether they acted right or wrong. We shall, therefore, that this is not fair to charge that

members of the legislature were corruptly connected with these facts. But the action of certain men and influences in Chicago, in the whole of the country, is the result of the Republican. The friends of the honest members of the legislature will demand the investigation of the whole matter, and the friends of the corrupt members will demand the investigation of the whole matter.

Congressman Burrows in a late interview says that he fears that the republicans of the next house of representatives will be the same as the republicans of the present house. He says that the republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. He says that the republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house.

The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend. The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

The republicans of the present house will be the same as the republicans of the next house. The danger is not nearly as imminent, however, as the utterance would portend.

I was only a question of time when non-party men would be elected. The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons. There is a party fight where nearly every man carries concealed weapons.

The prohibition is a party question. It is in a party fight where nearly every man carries

